

Psychology Problems in Court

Psychologists (as expert witnesses) have serious shortcomings as to Constitutional Rights and Protections when testifying in courts.

A court's reliance on psychological authority rather than on constitutional objective facts is a prejudice.

There is no such thing as an impartial witness.

“A foolish faith in authority is the worse enemy of the truth.” (Albert Einstein)

“If the truth exists, it deserves to be known.” (Sir Francis Bacon)

“Mental health people manipulated memories via drugs, intimidation, and hypnotism to a slew of false memory accusations.” (Laure Sanders in her book: “Science under Siege”)

A diagnosis of “mental illness” is not a categorical reason to doubt competency.

The diagnosis of “mental illness” is not easily defined, even by different psychologists.

Methodological assumptions do not lead to verifications.

Psychologists cannot objectively define either intelligence or mental illness, but can confine or control, as under a guardianship, anyone indefinitely on that pseudoscience.

“The ability to distinguish right from wrong is not the measure of anyone's sanity or I.Q.’ (Judge Catherine Crier in “The Case Against Lawyers”)

Knowing what the law is does not mean that you know right from wrong.

I.Q. has nothing to do with the ability to sense that one is being abused: even animals can sense and fear abuse.

The multi-diagnostic criterion of psychology ... is to befuddle the layman, especially juries.

“Psychiatric experts are a farce in which each side contracts the other and completely befuddle the jury.” (Julian Carroll, lawyer)

You can find a witness to support any agenda or ideology ... especially an “expert witness”. The goal of the state's “expert witness” is to help the state convict the defendant and NOT about following where the evidence leads, or if there is any evidence at all.

Psychology is a subjective practice, applied with a selective agenda, which is sold as an objective field, which only profits the one with an agenda.

It is doubtful that the state could define “deviant”. (U.S. Justice Anthony Scalia)

The arena (psychology) is characterized by rarely challenged myths, ill-defined boundaries, and a strangely seductive attractiveness. (Dr. Roger Freeman in “Sociobiology: The New Synthesis”, 1975)

A terror tactic used at the Patuxent Maryland prison is that of holding a prisoner for an indefinite sentence, his liberation being dependent on the psychiatrist’s prognosis as to the inmate’s dangerousness in the future. (Psychiatrists cannot predict the future.)

Predicting the future is a fool’s errand, a cop-out from doing some critical thinking.

Objectivity and truth are the first victims when lawyers, politicians, and psychologists explain human behavior.

Psychology still depends on “pseudoscientific” consensus for conclusions.

A classic technique is to distort the victim’s behavior, akin to mental illness, thus the victim loses touch with reality, and it is replaced with an externally controlled “reality” (mental, verbal, and psychological abuse or torture: false memory and confabulations).

Psychologists have found out that they can create instant inter-group hostility by sorting people on just about any pretext, including the flip of a coin. (The Blank State” by Steven Pinker, pg. 157)

“The human mind is always active and inquiring.” (William James)

“Mental State Assessment”: how much you are worth to a psychiatrist with a government health contract to control others.

Psychology is an exaggerated, political, rhetoric practice. (A pseudoscientific swindle).

Psychotherapy involves a highly unequal power relationship with very dependent and vulnerable patients whose opinions and comments can be so easily distorted and dismissed as “part of the illness”.

Psychiatrists act on the agenda of those who give them the social contracts.

“ ... vulgar satyriasis ... “ (Robert Boyle, as applied to psychologists)

Ideas have psychological implications.

Letting someone else do your thinking for you, hinders your own ability to make decisions.

Psychological theory has no convincing explanation for the obvious purposefulness of behavior. (Gary Cziko in “The Things We Do”, page ix)

Cognitive psychology uses complex theories for behavior, and still cannot explain results deterministically.

Psychotherapy represents an attempt at “persuasion”. (Jerome Frank, as quoted by Jerry N. Downing in “Between Conviction and Uncertainty”.)

“The cognitive therapy of depression ... remains largely unproven and does not easily lead to a proof, or disproof.” (pg. 33, “Between Conviction and Certainty” by Jerry N. Downing)

“The analyst has a privileged access into the patient’s experience.” (S. A. Mitchell, in “Hope and Dread in Psychoanalysis”)

“We must entertain the realistic possibility that political structures can influence and cause personal, psychological distress.” (P. Cushman, “Constructing the Self, Constructing America”)

“Subjectivity and bias run rampant in psychotherapy.” (A.A. Lazarus in “Theory, Subjectivity, and Bias: Can There Be a Future?”)

“Psychology cannot be a coherent practice.” (Sigmund Koch)

Not all actions can be intentionally subject to psychological interpretation.

A correspondence theory of truth fails to the individuality of a psychological interpretation.

There is NO consensus in the meaning of “being cured” in psychotherapy – except by the agenda desired (brainwashing).

“Reality as experienced by the individual cannot be accurately described.” (Carl Rogers, in “Psychology: A Study of a Science”, Vol. 3)

State – controlled legalism and psychotherapy puts an indirect control on the individual. The psychotherapist then just becomes another “power and control” authority figure who is held unaccountable over the victim-citizen.

“Reality is subject to many interpretations influenced by the immediacy of a personal profit at that time.” (G.A. Kelly, in “The Psychology of Personal Constructs”)

Characteristics of the value and objectivity of psychotherapy are still being debated by science and medicine.

“Psychoanalysis” could be recognized as a form of fiction.

Emotional distress: a form of injury from tortuous mental, verbal, or psychological abuse.

Mental, verbal, and psychological abuse leaves no physical scars, just a broken human spirit.

“ ... stress radically impairs cognition. We know memory is very fragile to begin with.” (Steven Soldz, Psychology for Social Responsibility, Boston Graduate School of Psychoanalysis)

Injustice arises when one person tyrannizes the field: psychological dogmatism. (Same thing occurs in a courtroom, when a judge oversteps the Constitutional rights due in their courtroom.)

Psychology is full of examples of restructured judgment (false memory syndrome).

To say something and see it distorted to mean something else, can undermine one's feeling of psychological well-being. (This occurs when a judge or prosecutor distorts the meaning of words with individual agendas.)

[Psychology] ... is a system that is deficient in its checks and balances expected of a profession. Too much room is left for subjective judgments, pressure, and caprice.

Cognitive and emotional faculties of **Homo Sapiens** will always remain in a realm beyond legal description, because objective data in the social sciences is impossible. All such data is subjective, selective, and biased.

Legalists, politicians, and psychologists all exploit human nature with framed questions.

In the arena of human behavior, psychiatric precision is a charade. Even quantum probability is useless as an individual prediction.

The notion that something resembling scientific data from a subjective encounter is a sham itself: psychological data requires a human intermediary, a biased instrument.

The idea that selfish sexual urges may be rooted in our male nature comes from feminism. Both genders of **Homo Sapiens** are sexual predators.

The feminist-influenced United States has a higher rate of violence against women than sexist cultures.

A psychiatrist is not competent to predict future behavior or dangerousness.

Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880 (1983): Psychiatric testimony was unconstitutional because psychiatrists cannot predict the future.

Ake v. Oklahoma, 470 U.S. 68 (1985): Psychology is an inexact science.

All future behavior is speculation. (You cannot convict or confine a person on speculation.)

Claims by subjective practitioner (lawyers, politicians, and psychologists) have always turned out to be prejudiced speculation.

Psychology has no measurability, testability, or verification. All human endeavors have limits.

Prozac may cause nymphomania in women.

Psychological theories are just biased speculation guided by a consensus, agenda-driven ideology, but ideology always distorts reality.

Psychology can be described as an unstructured, ill-defined verbal conflict using value-judgments.

I.Q. tests are subjective, selective tests, and are the most abused practice in the medical field.

Statements from
“The Book of Woe”
By Dr. Gary Greenburg
ISBN 978-0-399-15853-7 (2013)

Psychiatry cures nothing and relieves symptoms haphazardly. (pg. 13)

Mental illness is more sociological than mental. (pg. 19)

Psychiatrists have yet to figure out just exactly what a mental illness is, or how to decide if a particular kind of suffering qualifies. Do psychiatrists deserve their power and control? (pg. 20-21)

Any aberration could describe a mental illness. (pg. 23)

Psychiatrists talk (under oath) without knowing what they are talking about, thus their knowledge of the “facts” has no connection to reality, but his power of authority allows them to get away with what they say as the Diagnostic Symptoms Manual’s (DSM) are “fictive placeholders” or “useful constructs” to control, to manipulate, and profit from another’s vulnerabilities, and get away with whatever you can. (pg. 24)

Described symptoms could not be proved to exist. (pg. 29)

Psychiatrists used the DSM to obtain insurance payments, but otherwise ignored. (pg. 34)

Blue Cross told the **Psychiatric News** that the insurance company was reducing mental health treatment benefits because “compared to other types of services, there is less clarity and uniformity of terminology concerning mental diagnosis.” Federal funding changed for mental health problems ... “because opinions vary on how mental health and mental illness should be defined, but also because the available data are often inadequate or misleading.” (pg. 35)

Consensus and referenda on matters of health science makes no sense. Reliability was not the same as validity. (pg. 40)

Any honorable profession is always open to new evidence (pg. 42) (Should include the legal profession.)

Symptoms were {always} approximations ... as meta-analysis is a statistical opinion. (pg. 46) (You cannot convict on opinion, you need constitutional evidence and facts.)

Diagnostics are concepts, with opinions that could not be validated. Authority must be backed by science, not politics. (pg. 49)

The DSM existed so as to get paid by insurance companies. (pg. 50)

There are NO stable thresholds for identifying mental disorders. CT scans cannot expose a mental illness. Any doctor who diagnosed entirely on the basis of symptoms was practicing bad medicine: psychiatry only uses symptoms. (pg. 53)

All an fMRI tracks in the brain is oxygen flow indicating active sites. (pg. 60)

Psychiatrists cannot answer whether was the brain causing the experience or simply responding to the mind's demand? (pg. 61)

Post hoc, as the logicians say, does not mean propter hoc. (pg. 62)

There is still not one [single] biological test for a DSM disorder. (pg. 64)

Most psychiatrists don't care what the DSM rules are. (pg. 68)

DSM rule 309.28 - offers all kinds of diagnostic flexibility - a generalized catch-all: Disorder Not Otherwise Specified, so as to get an insurance payment for the psychiatrist. (pg. 69)

DSM labels were insufficient, criteria so deracinated, banal, and in bad faith: a scam of scientific rhetoric. (pg. 70)

The ONLY value of the DSM is so that insurance companies pay psychiatrists. (pg. 71)

The use of the DSM ... must be "critically examined" especially when those uses involve the "determination of legal responsibility, competency, or insanity" as the diagnoses criteria in the DSM reflects only a majority consensus. (pg. 73) (Confidence or a consensus does not equate to a certainty.)

The diagnoses of "Disruptive Behavior Disorder", NOS (312.9) is labeled so that insurance companies pay for rowdy children. (pg.82)

Too many psychiatrists are linked to pharmaceuticals and the DSM was not immune to drug industry influence. (pg. 82)

ALL psychiatric diagnoses are made by clinical signs and symptoms, no lab tests or independent external validations are required. (pg. 89)

An ubiquitous feature of American classifications: If you do not see things our way, then there is something wrong with you. (pg. 109)

Epicycles, not unlike codicils and caveats in the DSM, are a way intended to preserve the authority and domination of symptoms than to get to the truth. (Symptoms are the "property" of the APA.) (pg. 117)

There can be NO claims about how (or even whether) mental illness exists in nature. (pg. 118)

"Validity tests ... have not lived up to expectations." (Dr. Darrel Regier in Psychiatric News) (pg. 122)

Diagnoses were assessed according to how congress or insurance companies would pay for them. (pg. 128)

You do not debate mental illnesses like a political issue. (pg. 145)

Insurance companies would not pay for “psychiatrizing normal behavior”. (pg. 148)

The diagnosis that produced the most money, gets used the most: insurance reimbursements. (pg. 151)

“Sure there is a reality out there, but we have an incomplete perception of it.” (Dr. Allen Francis) (pg. 156)

“We can’t even ever truly know if the world looks the same from the perspective of another human mind.” (Nye Lewis-Davies).

Psychiatry depends on placebo effects. (pg. 157)

Psychiatry uses inflated epidemiological prevalence./ (pg. 158)

Psychiatrists use ... pet theories as diagnostics. (pg. 163)

A diagnosis must consider the environment contexts within the totality of circumstances. (pg. 165) (The totality of circumstances is ignored in courts.)

The DSM is a consensus of agreed-upon lies masquerading as truths. (pg. 167)

“The broad definition [of mental illness is] almost impossible to test ... objectively.” (Dr. Darrel Regier) (pg. 169) (Due to a lack of quality measures.)

An aristocratic monopoly quickly becomes oppression, as by a for-profit profession (such as psychiatry). (pg. 177)

The DSM will always be written with disclaimers that no one could understand, or read. (pg. 179)

Diagnostic criteria were hypotheses and caprice. (pg. 181)

Pharmaceuticals were looking for profits in the loopholes of the DSM. (pg. 201)

[Psychiatrists and pharmaceuticals] were gerrymandering a diagnosis for a profit. (pg. 203)

X-rays, scans, and tissue samples do not help psychiatry. (pg. 227)

Prosecutors who, aided by psychiatrists, can use psychiatry to keep certain sex offenders locked up well beyond the end of their sentences, indefinitely or maybe forever. (pg. 233)

The sex offender laws are political when an involuntary indefinite incarceration is based on behavior alone. There is a difference between a mental illness and a criminality that puts too many prisoners to endless detention ... via a biased diagnosis. (pg. 235)

Diagnoses were not to be used as “The basis for any professional or legal decision that may limit the liberty, or discriminate against, any individual” ... because of significant problems in the process. (pg. 238)

The DSM fails to develop an accurate taxonomy. (pg. 241)

“The word “normal” has been off-limits for describing erotic interests for decades.” (Dr. Ray Blanchard, Canadian psychiatrist) (pg. 243)

Human confidence is fragile, which is why a society may feel insecure from ignorance. (pg. 246)

If you have no insurance, you do not have a diagnosis, as there is no incentive. (pg. 254) (If you have no money, you do not have a legal case.)

To have a unique personality is a neurosis itself. (pg. 263) (Individualism is frowned upon.)

The American Psychological Association was both intelligently conspiratorial and dopedily incompetent. (Pg. 295)

[Psychology is] a profession whose authority stands on nothing more than a consensus of like-minded mutual authority [a scam]. (pg. 305)

Psychiatry’s ongoing struggle for respectability and money ... [so as] to get well paid [for deceptive expectations]. (pg. 312)

All diagnoses are subjective ... you have no test for them. (pg. 319) (Convictions require Constitutional facts, not a subjective consensus.)

Diagnosing for dollars ... [there is] no scientific integrity. (pg. 322)

The whole enterprise (psychiatry) was a confidence game. (pg. 327)

The psychiatric profession is a cabal of pharmaceutical collaboration. (pg. 332)

Psychiatry has no scientific anchor, a diagnosis into something beyond the symptom, as not one single DSM mental disorder meets the scientific scrutiny of the day. (pg. 334)

The National Institute of Mental Health has lost faith in the DSM. (pg. 339)

There is no reality, just human subjective constructs about mental illness. (pg. 340)

The mind cannot be measured by physical tools. (pg. 340)

Social tyranny is becoming real, as neuroscience-based tyranny promises control via a pill: escapism. (pg. 345)

Today’s legal and psychological professions are selfish-for-profit scams. (pg. 345)

It is not a bias [or a mental disorder] to be skeptical. (pg. 347)

Psychiatrists are not treating the disorder, but the symptom. (pg. 348)

Not everyone needs to see a psychiatrist for the treatment of a mental disorder. (pg. 349)

The American Psychiatry Association is using the DSM to exploit social fear into a for-profit market. (pg. 350)

The DSM is a false bible. (pg. 351)

72% of the prescriptions for antidepressants have no psychiatric diagnoses of any kind. (pg. 353)

The DSM is a fiction to collect a payment from insurance companies. (pg. 355)

The DSM-5 relies on categories that ... have no firm basis in reality. (Dr. Michael First) (pg. 362)

* * * * *

Psychologists are today's charlatans.

Creativeness and curiosity have been diagnosed as mental illnesses by those who have neither creativeness nor curiosity.

Pseudo-authority figures react negatively to questions that disagree with their agenda.

Every honest profession with any integrity will hasten to correct a wrong result, except legalism, politics, and psychiatry, as those professions are selective, subjective, biased, agenda-driven, and the least trusted in society.

The accuracy of brain scans will never be good enough to "Read your mind".

Scans measure glucose and oxygen activity levels in the brain.

We have little conscious control over our emotions.

Consciousness defies a definition.

Each individual will sense reality in a slightly different way.

An object can never understand itself completely, so there is a limit to psychology.

There is no uniformly accepted definition of either intelligence or mental illness.

Intelligence is revealed by curiosity.

Brainwashing had little effect in the long run.

There is no universally accepted way to diagnose mental illness – except by the agenda desired. (Dr. Micho Kaku)

In law and logic, you can have all true premises, but still reach the wrong conclusion. (hint: $a=b$) “Being logical does not make you right.” (Aristotle)

Legalists use a biased, literal translation of the laws to fit their agenda which is sophistry and illusion.

In any legal or scientific sense: porn does not cause sexual assaults. (Bureau of Justice Statistics: Criminal Victimization, 2005, Washington, D.C. (www.ojp.gov/bjs/pub/pdf/cv05.pdf.)

Gender-bashing reasoning is the last refuge of feminist-shy legalists desperate to find a way to justify and defend their agenda.

“Reality is a metaphysical concept. Human interpretation will always be incomplete.” (Jim Baggott, pg. 285, “Farwell to Reality”, (2013).

Legalists use a biased, literal translation of the laws to fit their agenda which is sophistry and illusion.

Feminism is a “Grand Illusion” in society, and too many public officials were whores to the feminist agenda to get the support to get elected.

“We have to remember that what we interpret is not reality itself, but the reality that is exposed to our limited questioning.” (Physicist Werner Heisenberg)

Experts, driven by greed or venal ambition, will cheat or lie to fit an agenda.

Verification and critical analysis of evidence and testimony are needed for due process fulfillment.

Concepts that are selective or speculation cannot be considered to be true.

Reality is exposed to our method of questioning; we cannot find the truth with the wrong questions.

Too many prosecutors have put assumptions on top of assumptions like a house of cards to prove a charge, hoping to lose the jury in a maze of speculation.

Any forced or psychological coercion cannot be sustained, indirectly by a third party from a distance of time by one who was not an eye witness to the original event. (Psychologists cannot speculate objectively to an event they did not witness and then make a statement under oath in court as to “what happened”. Under stress, even direct eye witnesses have been proven to be faulty due to human limitations.)

“We can’t even ever truly know if the world looks the same from the perspective of another human being.” (Nye Lewis-Davis, BBC Science News)

“We are disconnected from our future selves as if we were two different people.” (Derek Parfit)

The sex offender laws are a knee-jerk reaction to mass hysteria and ignorance that rivals the Salem Witch trials as a complete lack of impartial justice.

The learning process is an NP-complete problem.

Experts who state that intelligence can be legally defined have a selfish agenda to promote.

Intelligence is a concept.

We still do not know what mysterious quality “intelligence” really is.

Neither the legal or medical professions can define “mental illness” objectively.

Intelligence can be increased at any time: it just takes an effort and time.

Intelligence is a process of information gathering and usage which can be taught at any age.

A brain scan cannot diagnose a mental illness or detect intelligence.

On Wednesday, June 15, 2016, the CIA released a statement that it paid psychologists \$1800 a day to say that torture did not harm victims. (Even psychologists have a price.)

Progress is made by being independent and contrary to the status quo. The best ideas come from eccentrics.

Judges and psychiatrists do not like the right of unrestricted individualism guaranteed in the U.S. Constitution. The government and the psychiatrists cannot force you to think a certain way. (A First Amendment violation.)

Petitioner’s grounds about problems with psychology cannot be ignored.

Ferensic v. Birkett 451 F. Supp. 2d. 874 (2006): Defendant denied an expert witness to expose the unreliability of psychology. (The “Expert Witness” for my trial refused to show up in court. The judge (Cameron) would not appoint another “expert witness”, nor punish the one who was to testify on behalf of the defense.)

Nixon v. Administrator of General Services 433 U.S. 425 (1977): Constitutional right to privacy, specifically the right grounded in an individual’s interest in avoiding a distortion or twisting of facts. (The statement given to the Chippewa Police department without any defense counsel present was distorted and twisted out of context into a so-called “confession”.)

United States v. Salerno 481 U.S. 739 (1987): In our legal system, persons are accountable for past actions, not anticipated future actions. (The “Rules of Supervision” punish a parolee for anticipated future actions.)

Pseudodementia: An over-diagnosed condition that covers and hides abuse and/or neglect of the victim. Symptoms include, but not limited to, anxiety, excessive worry, fatigue, sleep problems, anger, memory problems, and muscle control problems.

Psychological Pluralism: Any valid question that admits a variety of plausible responses, such that a biased therapist could choose the most advantageous response to fit an agenda: Philosophical constructive predetermined alternatives.

Vividness Effect: A psychological phenomenon that puts an over-emphasis on emotional reaction, rather than an appropriate relationship. (Irrelevant, colorful details of negative conditions that belittle, disrespect, and/or dehumanize the victim.)

Ivan Petrovich Pavlov (1849-1936) Found that by repeated associations, an artificial stimulus could be substituted for a natural stimulus called a “conditioned reflex”: behavior adjusted to fit an agenda.

Motivated Reasoning When someone is confronted with information that they do not want to be true, their brain frequently rationalizes that information away so that it does not threaten their preexisting profitable beliefs.

United States v. Patrick 985 F. Supp. 543 (1997): If a person is competent enough to enter legal contracts, they are competent to enter a relationship. (Ms. Tina Lynn Hayden could enter into legal contracts when she was her own guardian, during our affair. But those contracts were denied to both of us by our state-appointed public defenders at our trials. There are plenty of witnesses who could have verified that information/evidence.)

Legal competence refers to the mental ability of an individual to participate in legal proceedings or transactions, and the ability to take responsibility for their decisions and actions. The term “legal capacity” is fluid and “functional”, meaning that it is a status (more than a condition) that can change because it can be interpreted subjectively. This subjectiveness allows judges to deny evidence and/or witnesses that could help the defense in a competence trial – a bias that is unconstitutional.

Indiana v. Edwards 554 U.S. 164 (2008): “Mental illness itself is not a unitary concept. It varies in degree. It can vary over time. It interferes with an individual’s functions at different times in different ways.” (From a brief filed by the American Psychiatric Association on behalf of Edwards.)

“The disabled have enough problems without having conventional guilt-laden values forced upon them. Private sexual satisfaction takes precedence over public approval; therefore, any guilt is wrong ... and sexually, for disabled people, anything goes.” (Dr. Milton Diamond, expert on sex and the disabled, Univ. of Hawaii)

No sexual act between consenting adults is deviant or kinky, provided it is not harmful or painful to one of them. Open communications, discussion, and experimentation will let each couple know what brings the greatest sexual pleasure to each other: oral, anal, or digital sex is not kinky if both are comfortable with it.

“A charge of sexual assault cannot be charged against a person who was given consent by another person who was later declared “incompetent” by a biased court.” (Pg. 114, “Grammar of Criminal Law, Vol. 1” by Mr. George P. Fletcher, ISBN: 970-0-19-51-310-6) (An Ex Post facto violation) (Ms. Hayden was declared “incompetent” years after our affair, and after we had filed a criminal complaint against a public official who was abusing her. That public official bragged about that retaliation against all of us whistleblowers, and there are witnesses to all this, but they were all denied to us at our trials.)

Psychologists attribute everything to sex, which makes them the perfect false-witness mouthpiece in court to support the agenda and ideology of the feminazi inquisition.

Note: A feminazi is not a feminist, just as a wolf in sheep’s clothing is not a sheep, and just as a punitive society is not a democratic society.