

Witness Denied Case Law

(Every case resulted in a new trial for the defendant.)

Goodman v. Bertrand 355 F. 3d. 1010 (7th Cir) (2004) Defendant granted a new trial because he was denied certain witnesses.

McCandless v. Vaughn 172 F. 3d. 255 (3rd Cir) (1999) Sixth Amendment right to have critical witnesses was denied to the defendant.

Sullivan v. Fairman 819 F. 2d. 1382 (7th Cir) (1987) Witnesses denied to the defendant, even though counsel knew who, and where they were.

United States v. Brown 28 M.J. 644 (1989) Defendant denied witnesses, granted a new trial.

United States v. Huang 877 F. Supp. 945 (1993) Defendant was denied witnesses.

Washington v. Smith 48 Supp. 2d. 1149 (1999) Witnesses known, but were not called.

(Both trial and appellate counsel knew who, and where my witnesses were, and why they were necessary. The state-appointed public defender for my trial told me the day before the trial, that all my witnesses were going to be called, but at my trial, said that they would not be needed.)
(That is ineffective assistance of counsel, a constitutional violation that is being ignored by all the courts and appeal system.)

The state of Wisconsin has now put two of my other witnesses under a guardianship against their will, so as to deny them to me as a witness. We have all know each other for years, and now are not allowed to write to each other in violation of our First Amendment Rights to associate.

Adverse Inference: Witness tampering by the state to deny the defendant a favorable witness.

But, the Federal appeal courts ignore these constitutional violations.

Guardianships have just become political legal terms for slavery.

You can convict anyone of anything when the defendant is denied evidence and witnesses that could help prove their innocence.

You must ask witnesses the right questions to find the truth.